

**Amendment to the Drawings**

FIG.2 is replaced by the replacement sheet in which the numerals for indicating the plane upon which a sectional view is taken are changed from "I" to "III" to correspond to the view number of the sectional view.

FIG. 3 is also amended to include an additional element, "ECU."

FIG. 4 is also amended to include an additional element, "ECU."

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has objected to FIGURE 2. Accordingly, FIGURE 2 has been amended as the Examiner has suggested as indicated above.

The Examiner has objected to the specification. Accordingly, the specification has been amended as the Examiner has suggested as indicated above.

The Examiner has allowed claims 11, 13, 14 and 16 through 19. No reason for allowance was indicated. The Examiner also indicated allowable subject matter in claims 2, 6 through 10, 12 and 15 provided that the all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims are included.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 10, 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph. In addition, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 3 through 5 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b). In view of the above amendments and the following remarks, the Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider the pending rejections.

**The Section 112, Second Paragraph Rejections**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 through 10, 12 and 15 under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention. Independent claim 1 now explicitly recites “a compressor housing having ... side walls projected from the circumferential wall” and “an accommodating portion integrally formed on an outer surface of the compressor housing at least partially with the projected side walls.” The Applicants respectfully submit to the Examiner that the above explicit

recitations clarify the structural relationship between the surfaces and the compressor housing.

Claims 2, 7, 12 and 15 have been amended to explicitly recite “electrical insulating member.” The Applicants respectfully submit to the Examiner that the above explicit recitations clarify the subject matter of these claims.

In view of the above amendments, the Applicants respectfully submit to the Examiner that claims 1 through 10, 12 and 15 now particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention. Thus, the Applicants now respectfully submit to the Examiner that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph should be withdrawn.

#### The Section 102 Rejections

The Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 3 through 5 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Anschicks et al. The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by Robertson et al.

Newly amended independent claim 1 now explicitly recites

“wherein the motor drive circuit includes:

a substrate arranged on the circumferential wall;

a plurality of electrical components mounted on the substrate on the side proximal to the central axis, the electrical components including short electrical components having relatively short height from the substrate and tall electrical components having relatively tall height from the substrate, wherein the electrical components line the bottom surface of the accommodating space in such a manner that the short and tall electrical components are respectively arranged on the substrate on the proximal and peripheral portions relative to the central axis.” These explicitly recited structures are not


disclosed by either of the cited references. Thus, the Applicants respectfully submit that the neither of the cited references fails to anticipate the above explicitly structure as recited in newly amended independent claims.

Dependent claims 3 through 5 ultimately depend from the newly amended independent claim and incorporate the above patentable feature due to dependency. Therefore, the Applicants now respectfully submit to the Examiner that the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §102 should be withdrawn.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the above amendments and the foregoing remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request a favorable Office Action so indicating.

Respectfully submitted,



Ken I. Yoshida, Esq.

Reg. No. 37,009

Date: April 21, 2006

**KNOBLE YOSHIDA & DUNLEAVY LLC**

Eight Penn Center, Suite 1350

1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 599-0600

FIG. 1




FIG. 2





FIG. 3



# FIG. 4

